

# 20230628 OpenSCD Additional Refinement - Code of Conduct and maintainership

The additional meeting has 2 goals:

1. Community Code of Conduct: discuss structuring the community, starting with a code of conduct. How do we want to implement a CoC? Please find constructive feedback here: <https://github.com/openscd/open-scd/pull/1278#pullrequestreview-1499247951>.

LF projects also have a CoC we were just made aware of recently: <https://lfprojects.org/policies/code-of-conduct/>.

Current Code of Conduct is here: [https://github.com/openscd/.github/blob/main/profile/code\\_of\\_conduct.md2](https://github.com/openscd/.github/blob/main/profile/code_of_conduct.md2).

2. Maintainership: We'll discuss the maintainer role in a general way (as in for the repo's), but the end goal would be to have a maintainer for OpenSCD itself. You can find initial suggestions to define maintainership on the LF WIKI here: <https://wiki.lfenergy.org/display/SHP/OpenSCD>.

Ben understands that Christian thinks that hate is only perceived by the receiver end. Christian does not see his views reflected in that statement. Christian does not want to provide feedback without being asked after having painful experiences in the past with giving feedback that had not been explicitly requested.

Problems:

- We act as one
- What is holding us back
- Lack of moving fast enough
- Not everyone is on the same page

Example:

- Only Pascal is thinking about wizarding. Pascal did not see any proposals from others.
- Pascal has to defend ADR's
- Ben understands that Christian experienced negative communication from his feedback. Christian does not see his views reflected in that statement.

Programmer focused issues. Is that meant for a community?

The reason for Sander to ask community feedback is improve the quality and work on it together. Sander sees the need to join forces in order to growth the adoption, build digital substations. The goals are similar, synergy is needed to pull this off in the community.

Pascal: As CoMPAS users we are dependent on OpenSCD. One CoMPAS community and one OpenSCD community.

Christian strives for understanding motivation and/or problem! Could be perceived as slow.

Sander suggested discussing OpenSCD-core proposal with others to improve the quality.

Christian was not happy with the OpenSCD-core progress since Pascal took over. Pascal was waiting to get feedback on his ADR's.

Christian fears the 61850 committee agreement progress in the OpenSCD development (many solutions for the same issue).

Christian is generally very weary of writing down other peoples' thoughts in some kind of protocol of what is being said—especially about intimate emotional subjects – without using direct quotes. He is afraid of what it will do to the mood of open and free expression in meetings if people write down their interpretation of what someone else is saying rather than the exact words that were said, or even if people write down exact quotes out of context. Christian really likes protocols that contain only points that everybody agrees on, using a phrasing that everybody also explicitly agrees on. He has far more hope that protocols of results of decisions will be helpful for the group in the long term than he has hope that protocols of what people have heard others say or interpreted will.