

# CoMPAS meetup 2023

To improve the collaboration and understanding etc. The idea is to organize an in-person meetup.

Improvements and reviews to this page are welcome by edit directly or leave a comment!

*Global meeting goals:*

- Bring everyone on the same page
- Lower the barrier for collaboration

## Date

23/24 January 2023

## Agenda

Day	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday
Morning		<p>8:30 start</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• CoMPAS general goals/mission (<a href="#">Sander Jansen</a> )</li><li>• OpenSCD general goals/mission (<a href="#">Jakob Vogelsang</a> )</li></ul> <p>Ideal output:</p> <p>Validate the existing high-level project mission and first start with the OpenSCD mission.</p> <p>Product vision</p> <p>10:00</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Demo/explanation of the current state of CoMPAS /OpenSCD (<a href="#">Jakob Vogelsang</a>)<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ OpenSCD-core</li></ul></li><li>• SCD generation process walk-through (RTE) <a href="#">Jean-Etienne LEMAIRE</a></li></ul> <p>Ideal output:</p> <p>Good understanding of the current state of CoMPAS /OpenSCD and the SCD generation process made by RTE</p>	<p>8:30 start</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How to maintain/govern the software?<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ LF energy governance model</li><li>◦ How to work in practice: Who maintains what? What are the procedures etc.</li></ul></li></ul> <p>Ideal output:</p> <p>9:30</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• How to build your own distribution (<a href="#">Christian Dinkel?</a>)</li></ul> <p>Ideal output: common understanding of how to create a OpenSCD / CoMPAS distribution</p> <p>10:00</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Community retro: How are we collaborating and where do we need to improve<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>◦ Subjects from the previous retro :<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>▪ Proposal of labelling decisions as an issue and how to prepare the refinement more in advance in the contribution page</li><li>▪ Discuss on another tool better than git to manage functional documentation and US</li></ul></li></ul></li></ul> <p>Ideal output: Action list on collaboration improvements</p>

Afternoon		<p>13:00 <b>CoMPAS Roadmap</b>, where to go next with CoMPAS /OpenSCD?</p> <p>Workshop host: <a href="#">Sander Jansen</a></p> <p>Ideal output: Better understanding of future needs (use-cases and features)</p> <p>1. Get common roadmap understanding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Roadmap goals?</li> <li>Why have a roadmap?</li> </ul> <p>Inspiration:</p> <p>(EN) Roadmaps are dead! Long live roadmaps! (Bruce McCarthy, Founder @ ProductCulture) - YouTube</p> <p>Per company present their plans</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Alliander (<a href="#">Sander Jansen</a>)</li> <li>RTE (<a href="#">Jean-Etienne LEMAIRE</a>)</li> <li>Omicron / TransnetzBW (<a href="#">Christian Dinkel</a>)</li> <li>Transpower (<a href="#">Jakob Vogelsang</a>)</li> </ul> <p>Discuss potential overlap.</p> <p>Transpower: <a href="#">open-scd/ROADMAP.md</a> at <a href="#">9314b67603235e3c0763cb6840f10d0e48769490</a> - danyill /open-scd (github.com)</p> <p>Add roadmap items to</p> <p><a href="#">CoMPAS Roadmap</a> or <a href="#">OpenSCD roadmap</a></p> <p><b>Community growth plan</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Quality assurance process</li> <li>61850 Engineering hub (blog/forum/confluence?)</li> <li>LF energy summit (who want to speak?)</li> </ul> <p><i>[DM, Transpower] - How can collaboration occur at an institutional level with OpenSCD/CoMPAS?</i></p> <p><i>If a utility needs/wants a feature implemented, how can they achieve this?</i></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Write code directly?</li> <li>Hire a developer to implement the feature?</li> <li>Have some commercial agreements with main stakeholders (e.g. Alliander/Omicron/LF Energy)? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Can OpenSCD be shepherd under a "foundation"?</li> <li>Are there better institutional mechanisms to do this formally via CoMPAS to avoid overhead?</li> <li>(even if the contributions are to the OpenSCD project?)</li> </ul> </li> </ol> <p><i>Transpower would likely prefer Option 3. Option 2 would still require some assistance.</i></p> <p>Ideal output: Action list on how to grow the community</p>	<p>13:00 Technical/Architecture discussions and proposals</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Talk about CoMPAS SCL Validator (<a href="#">pascal wilbrink</a>)</li> <li>Architecture discussion</li> <li>Work together on new features</li> </ul> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>[DM] How to document OpenSCD as it becomes more distributed into separate projects (<a href="#">open-scd#1057</a>)? By itself this will raise the barrier to entry.</i></li> <li><i>Need to generate documentation for a given distribution and its plugins?</i></li> <li><i>API documentation?</i></li> </ul> <p>RTE is leaving is 16:00</p>
Evening	Hang out in the bar; 19:00	Eat out together	

## **INNSiDE Frankfurt Ostend**

Around Frankfurt am main (on the line, Paris/Berlin and Arnhem/Neurenberg)

### **Participants**

*The following participants where joining the meeting:*

#### **Alliander**

[Sander Jansen](#)

[Jakob Vogelsang](#)

Juan Munoz

Pascal Wilbrink

Steffen van den Driest

Marc van Raalte

#### **RTE**

Aliou DIAITE

Jean-Etienne LEMAIRE

#### **Omicron**

[Christian Dinkel](#)

Fred Steinhauser